# INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

### Hyderabad regional office

Mine file No : AP/KNL/LST-200/HYD Mine code : 38APR11142

(i) Name of the Inspecting : PR09 ) M.Pratap.Reddy

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Assistant Mining Geologis

(iii) Accompaning mine : RAM GOUD REP.OF LESSEE

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 22/08/2016

(v) Prev.inspection date :

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

L. (a) Mine Name : NANDAVARAM(1.238 HA)

(b) Registration NO: :

(c) Category : B Tiny
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

State : ANDHRA PRADESH

District : KURNOOL

Village : NANDAVARAM

Taluka : BANAGANAPALLI

Post office : NANDAVARAM

Pin Code : 518124

FAX No. : E-mail :

Phone : 9848071806

(f) Police Station : BANAGANAPALLY

(g) First opening date : 05/12/2001

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : NANDAVARAM

correspondance MANDAL BANAGANAPALLY

District KURNOOL

3. (a) Lease Number :

(b) Lease area
(c) Period of lease
(d) Date of Expiry
:

4. Mineral worked : LIMESTONE Main

PAGE : 2

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : P R MURALI MOHAN REDDY

PO &AT NANDAVARAM

BANAGANAPALLY PO KURNOOL

ANDHRA PRADESH Phone:9848071806

FAX :

6. Date of approval of Mining : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 23/12/1999

Plan/Scheme of Mining

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

## Exploration :

Sl.No.	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	There is no approved proposals exists.	No exploration is carried out.	No valid approved document exists and Violation of Rule 12(3) and 13(1) of MCDR 1988 has been pointed out.
1b	Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2	There is no approved proposals exists.	The Limestone in the ML area has been made exposed by the working pit and it can be considered as G1 scale of exploration.	
1c	Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year	There is no approved proposals exists.	Nil	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	There is no approved proposals exists.	Nil	
1e	Balance reserve as on 01/04/20	There is no approved proposals exists.	About 25,000 T	
1f	General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc	There is no approved proposals exists.	The Tuffaceous Limeston is made exposed both depth wise and laterall and its persistance is established.	

## Development :

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.lease area	There is no approved proposals exists.	Barring 7.5m, the entire ML area has been developed.	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15)	There is no approved proposals exists.	A seperate overburden bench soil/sub soil and limestone benches have been developed.	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	There is no approved proposals exists.	Ore to Overburden ratio is neglisble.	

2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	There is no approved proposals exists.	As on the date of inspection there is no top soil. Mine is under non operation.
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	There is no approved proposals exists.	The mine is under non operation. As on the date of inspection no sizeable Overburden exists in the mine.
2f	General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc	There is no approved proposals exists.	One pit exists in the ML area which has been developed systematically.

## Exploitation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pit proposed for production	There is no approved proposals exists.	There exists one production pit.	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	There is no approved proposals exists.	The mine is under non operation for the last two years.	
3с	Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production	There is no approved proposals exists.	Entire ROM(100%) is recovered and dispatched. There are no mining losses.	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	There is no approved proposals exists.	There is no mineral rejection.	
3e	Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared.		There is no mineral rejects generation.	
3f	Quantity of sub grade mineral generation.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	There is no subgrade mineral generation.	
3g	Grade of sub grade mineral generation	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Does not arise.	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Manual method.	

3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Does not arise.
3ј	Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No drilling and blasting is involved.
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No mining machinary is deployed.
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Yes.
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	0.265 ha
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Ore to overburden ratio is very neglisble.
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	0.223 ha
3p	Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable	proposals	2011-12=26,500 T, 2012- 13=4,800 T, 2013-14=0 T, 2014=15=0 T, 2015-16=0 T
3q	General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.	proposals	Manual opencast method of Mining is adopted. The mine is under non operation for the last three years.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	There is no top soil dump exists.
4b	Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Does not arise.
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No waste dump exists.
4e	Number of active and alive dumps.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
4f	Number of dead dumps.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil
4g	Number of dumps established.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there.		retaining wall around the waste dump does not arise since no dump exists.
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
4j	Number of settling ponds	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management	proposals	There is no generation waste material in the ML area. Thus there is no waste dump management.

## Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks	Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	
---	--------	------	-----------	-------------	--

5a	Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No top soil exists in the ML area.
5d	Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
5e	General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.	proposals	The Ml area is yet to mature for backfilling and reclamation.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
ба	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2).	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No.	
6b	Area available for rehabilitation (ha) .	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.	
6c	afforestation done (ha).	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil	
6d	No. of saplings planted during the year	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil	
6e	Cumulative no .of plants	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.	

6f	Any other method of rehabilitation		No other method of rehabilitation.
6g	Cost incurred on watch and care during the year	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
6h	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling ( Lx B x D	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	The area is yet to mature for reclamation and rehabilitation.
6i	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	None.
6j	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Not applicable.
6k	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Not applicable.
6m	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Not applicable.
6n	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Not applicable.
60	Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Not applicable.

бр	Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone)		The mine is under non operation for the last three years and monitoring is done.
6q	General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	As said the mine is under non operation for the last three years.No monitoring is done.

### Mineral Conservation:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	The mine is under non operation for the last three years. There is no mineral dispatch.	
7b	Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	There is no sorting of mineral.	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines.	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No mineral sorting is done.	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines .	valid approved	No beneficiation is done.	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	The entire Rom is saleable. There is no beneficiation process is involved.	

#### Environment:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	-	valid approved	As there is no existance of top soil in the ML area.	

8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Does not arise.
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	No such dumps exists in the ML area.
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Does not arise.
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	The ML area is not yet matured for any reclamation and rehabilitation.
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41)	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	There is no existance of Plantation.
8g	Survival rate	There are no valid approved proposals exists.	Nil.
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	valid approved	The mine is under non operation for the last three years.
8i 	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area	valid approved proposals	No aesthitic beauty exists in the ML area.

### Compliance of Rule 45:

Sl.No.	Item	Propasals	Actual work	Remarks

9a Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns respect of preceding month and. The been submitted. Annual Returns should be submitted before 1st Jul in respect of preceding

year.

The Monthly Monthly Returns in Form Returns should F-8 since April 2013 be submitted have not been before 15th of submitted & Annual every month in Returns in Form H-8, for the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 have not

Violation of Rule 45(5)(a)(viii) & 45(5)(b)(viii) of MCDR 1988 were pointed out to the lessee.

# Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

Violati	on observed	Show couse position		
Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	Rule NO.	Issued on Compliance on	
Rule 12(3)	27/10/2016			
Rule 13(1)	27/10/2016			
Rule 23B(2)	27/10/2016			
Rule 23F(1)	27/10/2016			
Rule 45(1)(a)	27/10/2016			
Rule 45(1)(b)	27/10/2016			

Date : (M.Pratap.Reddy)

Indian Bureau of Mines